Case 2:02-cv-04413-MK Document 1 District Court Page 1 of 42

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff:			228 Pine Av	Harry T. Brassill 228 Pine Avenue, 2 nd Floor Runnemede, NJ 08078								
Addr	ess of Defe	ndant:	See attached	See attached List								
Place	of Accider	nt, incident or Transaction	n: Various									
			(Use Rever	se Side for Ad	ldu	tional Space)					
Does t	his case inv	olve multidistrict litigati	on possibilities?				Yes		No			
RELAT	TED CASE	IF ANY										
Case Number: 01-CV-5981 Ju			Judge	idge			Date Te	Date Terminated:		N/A		
Civil c	ases are dec	emed related when yes is	answered to any of the	e following au	ies	tions:						
		•	·				.i					
1.		ase related to property in sly terminated action in		mioerea sun p	æn	amg or with	iin one yeai	Yes		No		
2.	Does th	is case involve the same	issue of fact or grow o	out of the same	e ti	ransaction a	s a prior					
		ding or within one year					Yes 🖪 No					
3.	Does th	is case involve the valid	ity or infringement of a	patent alread	v i	n suit or an	z earlier		_		_	
		ed case pending or with			•			Yes		No	-	
CIVII	. (Dia	☑ in ONE CATEGOR	V () N I V			- <u>-</u>						
A.	•	Question Cases:	I ONLI)	B.	i	Diversity Ju.	riediction (70000				
						·				_		
1.		Indemnity Contract, M Other Contracts	arine Contract, and All	. I. 2.				: Contract Personal I		er Contra	acts	
2.		FELA		3.			-	Defamatio	-			
3.		Jones Act Personal	Injury	4.				ersonal In				
4.		Antitrust	,	5.				hicle Pers		ury		
5.		Patent		6.				rsonal Inju			y)	
6.		Labor-Management		7.			Products	Liability		-		
7.		Civil Rights		8.			Products	Liability -	– Asbes	itos		
8.		Habeas Corpus		9.			All other	Diversity	Cases			
9.		Securities Act(s) Cases	3				(Please sp	pecify)				
10.		Social Security Review	/ Cases									
11.		All other Federal Ques (please specify)-Relate										
		(pieuse species) itelate	ARBITRATI	ON CEPTH	21/	CATION						
				ppropriate ca								
ī								counsel	of recor	d do here	by certify:	
ı,	Duranant	to Local Civil Rule 53.2	Section 2(a)(2) that	a the bast of		. lenovilados	and balius				-	
		se exceed the sum of \$1:			•	knowieuge	and bener,	, the dama	ges reco	veraute i	ii tiiis Civii	
				erest and cost	5,							
		her than monetary damag	ges is sought.									
DAT	Ŀ: 		41	torney-at-Law	.,				Attori	iey I D.#		
	NOTE:	A trial de novo will be				npliance wi	h F.R.C.P.	38.	Дион	ky i D.		
		knowledge, the within c							rminated	daction is	n this court	
DAT	E:	 .										
CIV 60	9 (9/99)		Ati	torney-at-Law	v				Attori	ney I.D.#		

Defendants (Names and Addresses):

DaimlerChrysler Corporation 1000 Chrysler Driver Auburn Hills, MI 48326-2766

Ford Motor Company Parklane Towers West Suite 1500 Three Parklane Boulevard Dearborn, MI 48126-2568

General Motors Corporation 400 Renaissance Center P.O. Box 400 Detroit, MI 48265-4000

Federal-Mogul Global, Inc., individually and/or as parent company, successor in interest, or indemnitor to or of:

Fel-Pro, Inc.,

Ferodo America, Inc.,

Gasket Holdings, Inc., formerly known as Flexitallic Gasket Company,

Moog Automotive Inc., formerly known as Wagner Electric Corporation,

Pneumo Abex Corp., or

T&N plc.

2655 Northwestern Highway Southfield, MI 48034

(Rev 12/96)

June 28, 2002

___ AMOUNT_____ __ APPI YING IFP

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose if initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I (a) PLAINTIFFS Harry T. Brassill	STRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE	,	DEFENDANTS SEE ATTACHED						
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE (EXCEP	OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFFT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAIN HEF CASES ONLY) NOTE IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USL THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED							
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM N. Gregory N. Bunitsky, E. The Wannamaker Build 100 Penn Square East - Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 963-9333	ing Suite 1050 Tenth Floor	AITORNEYS (IF KNOWN) Lavin, Coleman, O'Neil, Ricci, Finarelli & Gray Penn Mutual Tower 510 Walnut Street - Suite 1000 Philadelphia, PA 19106 (215) 627-0303							
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY)		TIZENSHIP OF P. Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX FEAND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)				
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 2 U.S. Government Defendant	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh p. of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Ti Citizen of Ai Eitizen or S. Foreign (has State L 1 Conother State C 2 C	oFF 1 Incorporated or Principal Pla of Business in This State 1 Incorporated and Prescipal Pla of Business in Another Sta Foreign Nation	tace D.5 D.5				
OO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STAT	ON (CITE THE US CIVIL STATULE UNDER WHICH ILLIES UNLESS DIVERSITY) or asbestos exposure against Federal-Mog				endants, removed pursuant to				
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Manne 1310 Miller Act 140 Negotable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Forcelosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product I ability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 3 10 Amplane Product Laability 3 120 Assault, Libel & Stander 3 30 Federal Employers' Laability 3 40 Manne 3 45 Manne Product Liability 3 360 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 3 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 444 Welfare 444 Welfare 440 Other Civil Rights PERSONAL PR 103 170 Other Fraud 1370 Other Fraud 1370 Other Fraud 1380 Other Personal 1370 Other Personal 1385 Property Da Product Liability 5 10 Motors to V 5 5 1	operate of the control of the contro	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 610 Agniculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Sezure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R & & Track 650 Arrline Regs 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor/Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt Relations 6730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Lingalton 791 Limpl Ret Inc Security Act	BANKRUPT(Y	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment 410 Anterust 430 Banks and Banking 430 Commerce ICC Rates/etc 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/ Cartines/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Feodomic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 1895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 890 Other Statutory Actions				
VI. ORIGIN Ongmal Proceeding	State Court Appellate Court	C 4 Reinstate Reopene	ed or	ct C, 6 Mukalistrict C Litigation	Appeal to District 7 Judge from Magistrate Judgment				
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT: 2 UNDER FR C P 23			DEMAND \$ Check YES only if demanded in complaint In Excess of \$50,000.00 JURY DEMAND ■ YES □ N						
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions)			DOX KET NUMBER	01-CV-5981				
DATE	SIGNATURE OF A ITO	RNEY OF R	ICORD						

JUDGE

MAG_JUDGE_

Defendants (Names and Addresses):

DaimlerChrysler Corporation 1000 Chrysler Driver Auburn Hills, MI 48326-2766

Ford Motor Company Parklane Towers West Suite 1500 Three Parklane Boulevard Dearborn, MI 48126-2568

General Motors Corporation 400 Renaissance Center P.O. Box 400 Detroit, MI 48265-4000

Federal-Mogul Global, Inc., individually and/or as parent company, successor in interest, or indemnitor to or of:

Fel-Pro, Inc.,

Ferodo America, Inc.,

Gasket Holdings, Inc., formerly known as Flexitallic Gasket Company,

Moog Automotive Inc., formerly known as Wagner Electric Corporation,

Pneumo Abex Corp., or

T&N plc.

2655 Northwestern Highway Southfield, MI 48034

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

HARRY T. BRA	SSIL	L CASE NO.	
V.		CASE NO.	
FORD MOTOR	COM TORS	S CORPORATION	
Case Manageme defendants. (See with the plaintiff serve on the plain	ent Tr e §1:0 frega intiff	e Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff sha ack Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve and of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant derding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track the case should be assigned.	a copy on all oes not agree of court and
SELECT ONE	OF T	THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:	
((a)	Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2441 through §2255.	()
((b)	Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	()
•	(c)	Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 8.	()
((d)	Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	(X)
•	(e)	Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	()
((f)	Standard Management - Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	()
June 28, 2002			
(I)a	ile)	Edward T. Finch, Esquire Attorney For	
		DaimlerChrysler Corporation, Ford Motor Company at Motors Corporation	nd General
(Civ. 660)			

12/91

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

HARRY T. BRASSILL

CASE NO.

V.

DAIMLERCHRYSLER CORPORATION FORD MOTOR COMPANY GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION FEDERAL-MOGUL GLOBAL, INC.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Defendants DaimlerChrysler Corporation, Ford Motor Company and General Motors Corporation (the "automobile manufacturers") hereby give notice of the removal to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania of the claims which have been asserted against them in the action captioned Harry T. Brassill v. AC&S, Inc., et al. now pending in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, at No. 0107-2219. This Notice of Removal is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452(a), and as grounds for removal the automobile manufacturers state the following:

- 1. The action of which the removed claims are a part was commenced in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County.
- 2. The removed claims are those for personal injury or wrongful death asserted against the automobile manufacturers on the basis of alleged exposure to certain of their asbestos-containing products, including brakes and other automotive parts, manufactured for the automobile manufacturers by Federal-Mogul Global, Inc., or companies that it purchased, one or more of which is a co-defendant of the automobile manufacturers.

- 3. On October 1, 2001 Federal-Mogul Global, Inc. filed a voluntary petition for protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, commencing bankruptcy case number 01-10578 (the "Federal-Mogul Bankruptcy Case") currently pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware.
- 4. The removed claims may be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452(a): (i) the removed claims are asserted in a civil action not exempt from removal; and (ii) the Court has jurisdiction of the removed claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1334. All claims asserted against the Removing Defendants are related to the Federal-Mogul Bankruptcy Case, and the continued prosecution, outcome at trial or other resolution of the claims will have an effect on the administration of the Federal-Mogul Bankruptcy Case.
- 5. Removal to this Court is timely pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9027(a)(3) in that the Federal-Mogul Bankruptcy Case was pending when the removed claims were asserted on or after October 1, 2001 and in that this notice has been filed within 30 days of receipt by one or all of the automobile manufacturers of a copy of the initial pleading setting forth the removed claims.
- 6. Upon removal, the proceedings with respect to the removed claims are non-core. The automobile manufacturers do not consent to entry of a final order or judgment by the bankruptcy judge to the extent the bankruptcy court is authorized to hear or determine such claims consistent with 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5).
- 7. The purpose of removal is to facilitate transfer of the removed claims to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, the district court presiding over the Federal-Mogul Bankruptcy Case, to resolve on a consolidated basis the common threshold scientific issues

- 8. On November 20, 2001, the automobile manufacturers filed in the Federal-Mogul Bankruptcy Case a motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5) to transfer this and all other claims related to brakes and automotive parts for consolidated resolution of the threshold scientific issues concerning whether brakes and other automotive parts cause disease.
- 9. On December 10, 2001 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin issued the attached Order provisionally transferring pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5) the claims asserted against the automobile manufacturers to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware.
- 10. On January 3, 2002, Judge Wolin issued a letter opinion and order reiterating that all asbestos friction claims against the automobile manufacturers pending in federal courts as of December 10 had been transferred, and ordering any claims removed after December 10 transferred as well. A copy of the Order and Opinion are attached to this Notice.
- 11. On February 8, 2002, the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin denied the "Motions to Transfer the 'Friction Claims'" and simultaneously remanded the friction products claims. Attached hereto is a copy of said Order.
- 12. However, on February 11, 2002, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit granted a Temporary Stay of Judge Wolin's February 8, 2002 Court Order so that the matter could be considered by a three-judge panel of that court. Attached hereto is a copy of said Order.

- 13. The Removing Defendants file this Notice of Removal to adequately protect the interests of Removing Defendants and to facilitate transfer of these claims to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware pursuant to Judge Wolin's provisional transfer order.
- 14. The automobile manufacturers will comply with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d) by promptly giving notice of the filing of this Notice of Removal to all adverse parties to the action pending in the state court and filing a copy of this Notice of Removal with the prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County.

Respectfully submitted,

LAVIN, COLEMAN, O'NEIL, RICCI,

FINARELLL& GRAY

Edward T. Finch, Esquire Attorney for Defendants,

DaimlerChrysler Corporation, Ford Motor Company and

General Motors Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Edward T. Finch, Esquire, hereby certify that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d) written notice of the removal of this action will be promptly given to all adverse parties and a copy of the Notice of Removal will be filed with the Court of Common Pleas.

Edward T. Finch, Esquire

LAW OFFICES OF PETER G. ANGELOS, P.C. Firm I.D. #99975

James T. Fitzgerald, Esquire

PA S. Ct. I.D. No. 43178

Gregory N. Bunitsky, Esquire

PA S. Ct. I.D. No. 58339

The Wanamaker Building

100 Penn Square East

Suite 1050 - 10th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19107

(215) 963-9333

COPIES SENT PURSUANT TO Pa. R.C.P. 236(b)

MAY 1 4 2002

First Judicial District of Pa. User I.D.: KRO

Harry T. Brassill

- IN THE COURT OF COMMON
- PLEAS OF PHILADELPHIA
- COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

CIVIL ACTION LAWASBESTOS LITIGATION

AC&S, Inc., et al

ν.

- * JULY TERM, 2001
- * No. 2219

ORDER

AND NOW, this And day of And the plaintiff is granted leave to file, within twenty (20) days, a short form amended complaint, in accordance with the Master Pleadings, to add Defendants Daimler Chrysler Corporation f/k/a Chrysler Motors Corporation f/k/a Chrysler Corporation, Ford Motor Company, General Motors Corporation, Honeywell International Inc. f/k/a Allied Signal, Inc. successor to Bendix Corporation

ACICAMAX

LAW OFFICES OF PETER G. ANGELOS, P.C. Firm I.D. #99975

James T. Fitzgerald, Esquire
PA S. Ct. I.D. No. 43178

Gregory N. Bunitsky, Esquire
PA S. Ct. I.D. No. 58339

The Wanamaker Building
100 Penn Square East
Suite 1050, Tenth Floor
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107
(215) 963-9333

Harry T. Brassill

228 Pine Avenue 2nd Floor Runnemede, NJ 08078

Plaintiffs,

٧.

AC&S, Inc., et al.,

Defendants <u>NOTICE</u> YOU HAVE BEEN SUED IN COUR

YOU HAVE BEEN SUED IN COURT. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this Complaint and Notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by attorney and filing in writing with the Court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the Court without further notice for any money claimed in the Complaint of for any other claim or relief requested by the Plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

PHILADELPHIA BAR ASSOCIATION

LAWYER REFERRAL AND INFORMATION SERVICE ONE Reading Center

Philadelphia, PA 19107 Telephone:

(215) 238-1701

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

JULY TERM, 2001

NO. 2219

AMENDED COMPLAINT
PERSONAL INJURY

CIVIL ACTION -

Defendants

ASBESTOS - 2090 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

<u>AVISO</u>

LE HAN DEMANDADO A USTED EN LA CORTE. S1 usted quiere defenderse de estas demandas expuestas en las paginas siguientes, usted tiene veinte (20) dias de plazo al partir de la fecha de la demanda y la notificacion. Hace falta ascentar una comparencia escrita o en persona o con un abogado y entregar a la corte en form excrita sus defensas o sus objeciones a las demandas en contra de su persona. Sea avisado que si usted no se defiende, la corte tomara medidas y puede continuar la demanda en contra suya sin previo aviso o notificacion. Ademas, la corte puede decidir a favor del demandante y requiere que used cumpla con todas las provisiones de esta demanda. Usted puede perder dinero o sus propiedades u otros derechos importantes para usted.

LLEVE ESTA DEMANDA A UN ABOGADO IMMEDIATEMENTE. SI NO TIENE ABOGADO O SI NO TIENE EL DINERO SUFICIENTE DE PAGAR TAL SERVICIO, VAYA EN PERSONA O LLAME POR TELEFONO A LA OFICINA CUYA DIRECCION SE ENCUENTRA ESCRITA ABAJO PARA AVERIGUAR DONDE SE PUEDE CONSEGUIR ASISTENCIA LEGAL. ASOCIACION DE LICENCIADOS DE FILADELFIA

One Reading Center
Philadelphia, PA 19107
Telefono: (215) 238-1701

LAW OFFICES OF PETER G. ANGELOS, P.C. Firm I.D. #99975
Edward P. Monaghan, Esquire
PA S. Ct. I.D. No. 45775
James T. Fitzgerald, Esquire
PA S. Ct. I.D. No. 43178
Marla A. Macey, Esquire
PA S. Ct. I.D. No. 65774
The Wanamaker Building
100 Penn Square East
Suite 1050, Tenth Floor
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107
(215) 963-9333

Harry T. Brassill

228 Pine Avenue 2nd Floor Runnemede, NJ 08078

Plaintiffs

VS.

A C & S, Inc. **001** 120 N. Lime Street Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17602

and

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC. 229 c/o C.T. Coporation System 1515 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19109

and

* IN THE COURT OF* COMMON PLEAS OF* PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

PENNSYLVANIA

JULY TERM, 2001 No. 2219

* AMENDED COMPLAINT * PERSONAL INJURY

* CIVIL ACTION * ASBESTOS - 2090

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

* *

*

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. 2251 c/o C.T. Coporation System 1515 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19109

and

CLAYTON DUBILIER & RICE 2692 c/o The Corporation Trust Company 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

and

CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. 155
A Division of Aqua-Chem, Inc.
c/o C.T. Coporation System
1515 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19109

and

DAIMLER CHRYSLER CORPORATION, f/k/a*
CHRYSLER MOTORS CORPORATION, f/k/a *
CHRYSLER CORPORATION 433 *
12000 Chrysler Drive *
Detroit, MI 48288-0001 *

and

FOSTER-WHEELER CORPORATION 131 668 5th Avenue New York, New York 10103

and

FORD MOTOR COMPANY 105 c/o Sidney Kelly The American Road Dearborn, MI 48121 and

GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION 3225 c/o CT Corporation Trust 1515 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

and

H.B. SMITH COMPANY, INC. 157 47 Westfield Industrial Park Road * Westfield, MA 01085

and

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. f/k/a * ALLIED SIGNAL, INC. successor to Bendix Corporation 280 c/o CT Corporation System 1515 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

and

PFIZER, INC. 102 235 East 42nd Street New York, New York 10017

and

RAPID AMERICAN CORPORATION 4095 Corporation Service Company 2704 Commerce Drive Suite B Harrisburg, PA 17110

and

U. S. MINERAL PRODUCTS 231 Furnace Street Stanhope, New Jersey 07874

3

and

UNIROYAL, INC 043
Corporation Service Center
2704 Commerce Drive
Suite B
Harrisburg, PA 17110

and

WEIL MCLAIN, A DIVISION OF THE MARLEY COMPANY, A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF UNITED DOMINION INDUSTRIES, INC. 163 c/o C.T. Coporation System 1515 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19109

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

AND NOW comes Harry T. Brassill, by and through his attorneys Edward P. Monaghan, James T. Fitzgerald, Marla A. Macey and the Law Offices of Peter G. Angelos, P.C. and file this Complaint and in support thereof aver the following:

1. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference Plaintiffs' Master Long
Form Complaint in Re: Asbestos Litigation in Philadelphia County
Court of Common Pleas, filed as of October Term 1986, No.
8610-0001 as though set forth in its complete text. Pursuant to
an Order dated July 30, 1986 and signed by the Honorable Richard
B. Klein and the Honorable Edward J. Blake the following short
form complaint is utilized in this asbestos action.

- Plaintiffs would also name as defendants the Johns-Manville 2. Corporation, the Johns Manville Sales Corporation, UNARCO, Amatex Corporation, Forty Eight Insulators Incorporated, Wallace and Gale Company, Nicolet Industries, Pacor, Inc., Raymark Industries Inc., Raymark Corporation and Raytech, DI Distributors Inc. f/k/a Delaware Insulation Company, Inc., Carey Canada, Celotex Corporation, Eagle Picher Industries, Inc., Keene Corporation, Rock Wool Manufacturing Co., H.K. Porter Company, Inc., Pittsburgh Corning Corporation, Asbestos Claims Management Corporation f/k/a National Gypsum, Owens Corning, Fibreboard Corporation, Armstrong World Industries, Inc., GI Holdings Inc. f/k/a GAF Corporation, W.R. Grace Company-Conn and United States Gypsum Company, however, each of these potential defendants has filed for relief or been forced into involuntary bankruptcy under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code and, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 362, the institution of actions against these companies is stayed. Plaintiffs would have brought suit against the companies enumerated in this paragraph but for the automatic stay.
- 3. Defendant, Rapid-American Corporation, is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its registered agent being in C/O Prentice Hall Corp.

System, 319 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pa. 17101.

- 4. Defendant, Rapid-American Corporation, is liable to the plaintiffs for all damages caused or substantially caused by asbestos containing products of Philip Carey Manufacturing Corporation and/or Philip Carey Manufacturing Company.
- 5. Rapid-American Corporation and/or its predecessors merged with, and explicitly and impliedly assumed the liabilities of, Glen Alden Corporation after Glen Alden Corporation had merged with, and explicitly and impliedly assumed the liabilities of, Philip Carey Manufacturing Corporation.
- 6. Glen Alden Corporation also formed a subsidiary, Philip Carey, Manufacturing Company, which continued to conduct the asbestos business.
- 7. The corporate relationship between Rapid-American Corporation and Glen Alden Corporation, and Glen Alden's merger and subsequent formation of a separate asbestos company, as well as Rapid-American's express and implied assumption of all liabilities at every stage of every merger involving a predecessor corporation and Philip Carey Manufacturing Company and Philip Carey Manufacturing Corporation are the basis of Rapid-American Corporation's liability in this matter.
- 8. At all times material hereto, Philip Carey Manufacturing

Company and Philip Carey Manufacturing Corporation mined,
manufactured, produced, distributed and sold asbestos products
which were used within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania,
including, but not limited to: Hightemp Pipecovering and Block,
85% Magnesia Pipecovering and Block, Air Cell Covering, Fibrous
Adhesive Bonding, Careytemp Bonding, 7-m-90 Asbestos Shorts,
Insulation Cement, Vitracel Cement (Refractory Finishing), LF 20
Asbestos Cement (long fiber), No. 100 Asbestos Cement (hard
finish), No. 303 Asbestos Cement, Asbestos Cement, MW-50 Cement,
No. 707 Insulating Cement, Thermotex-B Mastic and asbestos
shingles, roofing products and asbestos paper.

- 9. Defendant, Uniroyal Inc., formerly known as United States
 Rubber Co., is a corporation duly organized and existing under
 the laws of the State of New Jersey with its registered agent
 being in C/O Prentice Hall Corporation System, 319 Market Street,
 Harrisburg, PA 17101.
- 10. At all times material hereto, Uniroyal, Inc., formerly known as United States Rubber Co., manufactured, produced, distributed and sold asbestos containing products which were used within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, including but not limited to:

 Asbeston yarns, tapes and fabrics. The fabrics were woven into a variety of products not limited to, asbestos containing safety

clothing, insulation jacketing and fire blankets.

- 11. At the direction and control of Clayton & Dubilier, Inc. CDU Acquisition Inc. acquired all outstanding shares of Defendant Uniroyal, Inc.'s common stock in 1985. At that time, CDU Acquisition, Inc. was wholly-owned by Clayton & Dubilier, Inc. Clayton & Dubilier, Inc. changed its corporate name to Clayton Dubilier & Rice, Inc. in or around 1993. Clayton Dubilier & Rice, Inc. is the successor in interest to Clayton and Dubilier, Inc. Clayton & Dubilier, Inc. had acquired Uniroyal, Inc., upon information and belief, for the purpose of dismantling its corporate structure and selling off its assets at a profit inuring to Clayton & Dubilier, Inc. Clayton & Dubilier, Inc. expressly and impliedly assumed the liabilities of Uniroyal, Inc. upon the purchase of all shares of common stock of Uniroyal, Inc. Clayton & Dubilier, Inc. and Clayton Dubilier & Rice, Inc. as successor in interest have not otherwise provided for the satisfaction of contingent liabilities of Uniroyal, Inc. from the funds it derived upon the sale of Uniroyal, Inc.'s assets. Defendant, Uniroyal, Inc., was liquidated in 1986.
- 12. Defendant, Clayton Dubilier & Rice, Inc., is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its registered agent being in c/o The Corporation

Trust Company 1209 Orange St. Wilmington, DE 19801.

- 13. Defendant American Standard Inc. is a Delaware Corporation with its principle place of business in New York and is doing business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. It is the successor in interest to Westinghouse Air Brake Co., which sold asbestos-containing products such as, but not limited to, gaskets, brake shoes and brake linings. American Standard, Inc. or its other predecessor, Ideal, sold asbestos containing boilers and cement to which plaintiff was exposed.
- 14. Defendant H.B. Smith Company, Inc., sued in its corporate capacity with its main office at 47 Westfield Industrial Park Road, Westfield, MA 01085. Defendant, H.B. Smith Company, Inc. manufactured, produced and sold, among other products, asbestos containing rope, wick, insulating cement, furnace cement, fill and millboard for use during installation of its boilers.
- 15. Defendant Weil McLain Company, A division of the Marley Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of United Dominion Industries, Inc., which is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principle place of business in Kansas which is doing business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. At all times material hereto, Defendant Weil-McLain, manufactured, produced and sold, either directly or

indirectly, in the geographical area in which the plaintiffs worked and/or to the employers of plaintiffs and/or to contractors on job sites on which plaintiffs worked, asbsestos products.

- 16. Defendant, Cleaver-Brooks, Inc., is a Delaware corporation with a principle place of business located in Lebanon,

 Pennsylvania. At all times material hereto Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. sold boilers which were designed or intended to be insulated with asbestos. Because the boilers failed to contain adequate and sufficient warnings of the possible hazards of asbestos, they were defective and the conduct of the defendant in selling those products without such warnings was negligent. Since asbestos insulation on the boilers had to be removed, it was dangerous and warnings should have been given.
- 17. Defendant, Honeywell International Inc. f/ka/ Allied Signal, Inc., successor to Bendix Corporation, sued in its corporate capacity, and as a successor in interest to inter alia, Allied Corp. and Allied Signal, Inc. Defendant Allied Signal, Inc. is a Delaware based corporation with its principle place of businesss located at Columbia Road and Park Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07962. At all times material hereto, it or its predecessor(s) in interest manufactured and/or sold, among other products, asbestos

containing brake shoes, linings, blocks and pads and other asbestos-containing brake related automotive products.

- 18. Defendant Daimler Chrysler Corporation, f/k/a Chrysler

 Motors Corporation, f/k/a Chrysler Corporation is a Michigan

 based corporation with its main office located at 12000 Chrysler

 Drive, Detroit, Michigan 48282. At all times material hereto it

 or its predecessor(s) in interest manufactured and/or sold, inter

 alia, among other products, asbestos containing brake shoes,

 linings, blocks and pads and other asbestos containing brake

 related automotive products.
- 19. Defendant Ford Motor Company is a Michigan based corporation 'with its main office located at the American Road, Dearborn, Michigan, 48121. At all times material hereto it or its predecessor(s) in interest manufactured and/or sold inter alia, among other products, asbestos containing brake shoes, linings, blocks and pads and other asbestos containing brake related automotive products.
- 20. Defendant General Motors Corporation is a Pennsylvania corporation with its registered agent at c/o CT Corporation System, 1515 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103. At all times material hereto, it or its predecessor(s) in interest manufactured and/or sold, among other products, asbestos

containing brakes, linings and motors for automotive installations.

- 21. This Complaint alleges the claims of the following persons:
 - a. Plaintiff("plaintiff"):

Name: Harry T. Brassill

Address: 228 Pine Avenue, 2nd Floor, Runnemede, NJ 08078 Social Security No. 235-78-4674

Date of Birth: 10/19/47

- 22. The defendants are those companies listed in the caption of this complaint.
- 23. Plaintiff was employed at Westinghouse Electric located in Lester, Pennsylvania from 1967 to 1972 and while in their employ was exposed to the asbestos products of the defendants herein.
- 24. At various times during the years from approximately 1965 to approximately 1985 Plaintiff regularly performed automotive repairs, including brake work through which plaintiff was exposed to the asbestos products of the defendants herein.
- 25. The air Plaintiff breathed was contaminated with asbestos dust and fibers shed by asbestos products sold, manufactured or distributed by the defendant corporations and each of them during the period 1967 to 1972 while he was employed by Westinghouse Electric averred in paragraph 23 above and from 1965 to 1985

while performing automotive brake repairs as averred in paragraph 24 above.

- 26. Plaintiff first learned of the injury for which he is making his claim on or about October, 2000.
- 27. Plaintiff was first diagnosed as having an asbestos related injury on or about October, 2000.
- 28. Plaintiff currently is suffering from shortness of breath, malignant mesothelioma and other asbestos lung disease.
- 29. A claim for lost wages is asserted at this time.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against all defendants named in this Complaint, and each of them, in an amount in excess' of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) for compensatory damages and in excess of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) for punitive damages, plus costs, attorney's fees and such further relief as may be appropriate.

LAW OFFICE OF PETER G. ANGELOS, P.C.

lva

Gregory N. Bunitsky, ESQUIRE
LAW OFFICES OF PETER G. ANGELOS, P.C.
The Wanamaker Building
100 Penn Square East
Suite 1050, Tenth Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19107
(215) 963-9333

I verify that the statements made in this Amended Complaint are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated_____

x Harry T. Brassell

LAW OFFICES OF PETER G. ANGELOS, P.C. Edward P. Monaghan, Esquire Pa. S. Ct, I,.D. No. 45775
James T. Fitzgerald, Esquire PA S. Ct. I.D. No. 43178
Gregory N. Bunitsky, Esquire PA S. Ct. I.D. No. 58339
100 Penn Square East Suite 1050, Tenth Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107
(215) 963-9333

Harry T. Brassill

AC&S, Inc., et al

IN THE COURT OF COMMON

PLEAS OF PHILADELPHIA

228 Pine Avenue 2nd Floor Runnemede, NJ 08078 COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

*

* JULY TERM, 2001

,

Plaintiff

NO. 2219

VS

×

* AMENDED COMPLAINT* PERSONAL INJURY

*

CIVIL ACTION -

Defendants * A

* ASBESTOS - 2090 * JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

.

This is to certify that this is a true and correct copy of the foregoing Amended Complaint in the above captioned case.

LAW OFFICES OF PETER G. ANGELOS, P.C.

BY:

Gregory N. Bunitsky, Esquire

Law Offices of Peter G. Angelos, P.C.

100 Penn Square East Suite 1050, Tenth Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107

(215) 963 - 9333

EXHIBIT "A"

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE: FEDERAL-MOGUL : GLOBAL, INC., T&N : Chapter 11

Case Nos. 01-10578, et al. 1

LIMITED, et al.,

Debtors.

ORDER OF CLARIFICATION RE: PROVISIONAL TRANSFER OF FRICTION PRODUCTS CLAIMS

This matter having been opened upon the Court's own motion; and the Court having withdrawn the reference with respect to several motions to transfer and to provisionally transfer (the "Transfer Motions") claims pending in the several United States District Courts against defendant manufacturers of so-called "friction products" (respectively the "Friction Products Claims" and the "Friction Products Defendants") previously removed by the Friction Products Defendants from the several state courts; and for the reasons set forth in the letter opinion of the Court filed herewith; and for good cause shown

It is this day of January, 2002

ORDERED that this Order governs all Provisional Transfer Orders whether already issued by the Court or that may be issued in the future and those Orders shall not be construed in a manner inconsistent with the terms of this Order, and it is further

ORDERED that the Provisional Transfer Orders are limited in

¹See attached list.

effect to only those claims against the Friction Products Defendant(s) identified in the respective moving papers and Provisional Transfer Orders and that no other claims and no other parties are affected by the Provisional Transfer Orders, and it is further

ORDERED that any Friction Product Claim that would have been subject to a Provisional Transfer Order previously issued by this Court but for the fact that such Friction Product Claim had not yet been removed on the date the Provisional Transfer Order was issued is hereby provisionally transferred to this Court subject to further Order of this Court, and it is further

ORDERED that counsel identified in the Court's previous Provisional Transfer Orders shall provide to claimants provisionally transferred by this Order such notice and waivers of further service as was specified in the relevant previous Orders, and it is further

ORDERED that claimants provisionally transferred by this Order shall not be subject to the briefing schedule with respect to the Transfer Motion, and shall file no papers in opposition to the Transfer Motion except as provided by further Order of this Court.

ALFRED M. WOLIN, U.S.D.J.

IN RE: FEDERAL-MOGUL GLOBAL, INC. Case Numbers

01-10578	01-10643	01-10700	01-10750
01-10580	01-10644	01-10701	01-10751
01-10582	01-10646	01-10702	01-10752
01-10585	01-10647	01-10703	01-10753
01-10586	01-10649	01-10704	01-10754
01-10587	01-10650	01-10705	01-10755
01-10589	01-10651	01-10706	01-10756
01-10591	01-10652	01-10707	01-10757
01-10593	01-10653	01-10708	01-10758
01-10594	01-10654	01-10710	01-10759
01-10596	01-10655	01-10711	01-10760
01-10598	01-10656	01-10712	01-10761
01-10599	01-10657	01-10713	01-10762
01-10600	01-10658	01-10714	01-10763
01-10601	01-10659	01-10715	01-10764
01-10603	01-10660	01-10716	01-10765
01-10604	01-10661	01-10717	01-10766
01-10605	01-10662	01-10718	01-10767
01-10606	01-10664	01-10719	01-10768
01-10608	01-10665	01-10721	01-10769
01-10610	01-10666	01-10722	01-10770
01-10611	01-10668	01-10723	01-10771
01-10613	01-10669	01-01724	01-10772
01-10614	01-10672	01-10726	01-10773
01-10615	01-10673	01-10727	01-10774
01-10617	01-10675	01-10728	
01-10618	01-10682	01-10729	
01-10619	01-10683	01-10730	
01-10620	01-10684	01-10731	
01-10621	01-10685	01-10732	
01-10622	01-10686	01-10733	
01-10623	01-10687	01-10734	
01-10625	01-10688	01-10736	
01-10626	01-10689	01-10737	
01-10627	01-10690	01-10739	
01-10629	01-10691	01-10741	
01-10630	01-10692	01-10742	
01-10632	01-10693	01-10743	
01-10633	01-10694	01-10744	
01-10634	01-10695	01-10745	

01-10637	01-10696	01-10746
01-10638	01-10697	01-10747
01-10640	01-10698	01-10748
01-10641	01-10699	01-10749

EXHIBIT "B"

7.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:

: CHAPTER 11

FEDERAL-MOGUL GLOBAL, INC.,

: BANKRUPTCY NO. 01-10587

Debtor. : (jointly administered)

ORDER 1) DENYING THE MOTIONS TO TRANSFER THE "FRICTION PRODUCTS CLAIMS" AND 2) REMANDING THE FRICTION PRODUCTS CLAIMS

This matter having been opened before the Court upon the several motions of parties, denominated in the prior Orders of the Court as the "Friction Products Defendants," to transfer to this District into the above-captioned proceedings the claims against the movants previously denominated "Friction Products Claims"; and the Court having previously granted this motion on a provisional basis and the Friction Products Claims having already been provisionally transferred to this Court subject to a plenary hearing on the motion to transfer; and the Court having previously given notice to the parties that it would consider arguments directed to subject matter jurisdiction, abstention and remand in ruling upon the movants' applications; and the Court having reviewed the submissions of counsel and heard oral argument; and for the reasons set forth on the record at the hearing on those motions today, as supplemented by a written Opinion to follow; and for good cause shown

It is this 8th day of February 2002

ORDERED that the motions to transfer the Friction Products
Claims are denied, and it is further

ORDERED that this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the Friction Products Claims, and it is further

ORDERED that the Friction Products Claims are remanded to the state courts from which they were removed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447, and it is further

ORDERED that, in the alternative, the Friction Products
Claims are remanded to the state courts from which they were
removed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452.

Alfred M. Wolin, U.S.D.J.

EXHIBIT "C"

. .

No. 02-1426

In Re: Federal-Mogul Global, Inc., et al.

Daimler Chrysler Corporation, Ford Motor Company and General Motors Corporation,

Appellants

(DC No. 01-10578 (AMW))

Present:

Scirica, Circuit Judge

1) Emergency Motion for Stay Pending Appeal by Appellants

ORDER

The forgoing Motion for stay is granted temporarily in order for the Court to receive responses to the motion from opposing counsel and for full consideration of the matter by a three judge panel. Appellees' shall file written responses to the motion for stay on or before 10:00 am, Friday, February 15, 2002.

For the Court,

Clerk

Dated: FEB 11 2002

PSC/CC: CL, EW, EI

RM, AR, PK

ME. VK, WW

RP, JSG

EXHIBIT "D"

۳۱۵۵/۷۵ تا نام کا در دید

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

Nos. 02-1426, 02-1491, 02-1492, 02-1588, 02-1652, 02-1664, 02-1688 and 02-1741

IN RE: Federal-Mogul Global, Inc.

PRESENT: Becker, Chief Judge

ORDER

The following briefing schedule is hereby established in these consolidated appeals:

April 8, 2002: Appellants' joint opening brief on the merits of the district court's decision (14,000 words);

April 26, 2002: Appellees' joint response brief on the merits of the district court's decision and appellees' objections to appellate jurisdiction (21,000 words);

May 10, 2002: Appellants' joint reply brief on the merits of the district court's decision and response on appellate jurisdiction (21,000 words);

May 16, 2002: Appellees' joint reply brief on appellate jurisdiction (7,000 words).

It is expected that the various appellants and appelloes will join in these joint briefs to the greatest extent practicable. However, an individual appellant or appellee may file a separate brief addressing discrete issues particular to that party, as long as those issues are not merely repetitive of the arguments advanced in the joint brief. Such separate briefs are due on the same date as the joint brief addressing the relevant issues. The foregoing word limitations are established for the joint briefs without prejudice to motions for extension of those limitations if necessary to respond to the separate submissions.

A brief will be considered timely filed if it is deposited with an express mail service on the due date for overnight delivery on the next business day. In addition, all briefs shall be served on all parties by e-mail by 5:00 p.m. Eastern time on the due date. A list of e-mail addresses is attached to this order.

Oral argument will be held on June 17, 2002. The time will be fixed by the panel. The allocation of oral argument time will be as follows:

Appellants' opening argument: 1 hour

Appellees' argument: 1 hour, 20 minutes

Appellants' rebuttal: 20 minutes

The parties will determine an appropriate allocation of argument time for various counsel within these aggregate allotted times.

The temporary stay entered by this Court on February 11, 2002, as clarified by the order of March 19, 2002, will remain in effect until further order of the merits panel.

By the Court,

Date: MAR 2.5. 2007 NND ICC: All coussel grecord

Page 3

Edward Finch - schedulingorder.pdf

Page 41 of 42

ATTACHMENT: e-mail addresses for service

Appellants' counsel:

Ford Motor Company, General Motors Corporation, Daimler Chrysler Corporation:

David M. Bernick (david_bernick@chicago.kirkland.com) Christopher Landau (clandau@dc.kirkland.com) Eric B. Wolff (ewolff@dc.kirkland.com)

Document 1

Honeywell:

Thomas F. Campion (thomas.campion@dbr.com) Kenneth J. Wilbur (kenneth.wilbur@dbr.com) Michael J. Reynolds (michael.reynolds@dbr.com)

International automakers:

David Wilks (wilksd@whitewms.com) Chris Singewald (singewaldc@whitewns.com)

Official Unsecured Creditors Committee:

Robert B. Millner (RMillner@sonnenschein.com)

Hennessy Indus., Inc.:

Paul Day (paul.day@piperrudnick.com) Henry A. Heiman (hheiman@hagblaw.com)

Holman Enterprises, B.F. Goodrich, Salvo Auto Parts:

Les Baylin (leebaylin@baylinlaw.com) Michael A. Stover (mstover@wtplaw.com) Douglas F. Murray (dmurray@wtplaw.com)

Appellees' counsel:

Official Committee of Asbestos Claiments

Elihu Inselbuch (ei@capdale.com) Trevor W. Swett III (tws@capdale.com)

Ad Hoc Committee of Ashestos Claimants

Edward Finch - schedulingorder.pdf

Alan Rich (arich@baronbudd.com) Charles S. Siegel (siegel@swpk.com) Robert T. Haefele (RHaefele@Wilentz.com) Nancy Davis (ndavis@nmlrp.com)

Kaeske-Reeves Claimants - Beaty, Salter, and Sturdevant

Kay Reeves (kreeves@kaeske-reeves.com) J. Bradshaw (jbradshaw@kacsko-rooves.com) Michael B. Pullano (mpullano@mccarter.com)

Waters & Kraus plaintiffs/Unofficial Committee of Select Asbestos Claimants

Charles S. Siegel (siegel@swpk.com)

Counsel for Federal-Mogul

Laura Davis Jones (ljones@pszyj.com)